

## **ACTION AGENDA**

### **DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE Chula Vista, California**

**June 20, 2005  
4:30 p.m.**

**Council Chambers  
Public Services Building  
276 Fourth Avenue, Chula Vista**

---

**ROLL CALL:** Araiza, Alberdi, Magallon

**ABSENT:** Mestler

#### **PRELIMINARY REVIEW:**

1. DRC-05-51      The Shops at San Miguel Ranch  
Located at the southeast corner of Mt. Miguel Road and  
Proctor Valley Road  
Proposed 104,897 sq. ft. neighborhood shopping center  
anchored by a full service Albertson's supermarket.

Project Manager: Richard Zumwalt, Associate Planner

**Action: None taken. Overall, the committee felt the conceptual detail was heading in the right direction and recommended:**

1. Better articulation of the rear elevation facing Proctor Valley Road and Mt. Miguel Road.
2. Incorporate more wrought iron material replacing some of the awnings.
3. Reconsider placement of signs along arcade façade. Also consider having base signs with up lighting for identity.
4. Andalusia architectural detail (scallop) is usually featured on towers or gable ends. Reconsider placement of this architectural detail on Albertson building.
5. On plan front arcade façade looks too linear as opposed to what is shown on renderings. Arcade should be more pronounced and plan should reflect what is seen on elevation.
6. Elevation shows corner-arched wall along food court being curved whereas the plan shows the wall having angles. The committee preferred the curved wall and asked that the architect revisit the design.

2. DRC-05-52

South Bay Motor Sports  
1890 Auto Park Place  
Chula Vista, CA

Construction of a 35,840 square foot building for administrative office space, showroom and storage space for a motor sports dealership.

Project Manager: Miguel Tapia, Sr. Community Development Specialist

**Action: None taken. The committee thought that the corner front entrance needed a little more articulation. They discussed the type of text for the signage and suggested a more up to date style of lettering be considered as well as reducing the size. The committee also felt that the columns on the glass component of the building were different than the ones used at the other end of the same side of the building. The committee recommended redesigning this architectural element.**

**ADJOURNMENT:**

At 6:05 p.m. to a regular meeting on Monday, July 18, 2005 4:30 p.m. in Council Chambers.